

RECONSTRUCTION OF A PLANE CONVEX BODY FROM THE CURVATURE OF ITS BOUNDARY[†]

BY
MICHAEL KALLAY

ABSTRACT

Let $\tilde{K}(w)$ denote the class of plane convex bodies having a width function w , where w' is absolutely continuous. It is proved that a body in $\tilde{K}(w)$ is determined (up to translation) by the radius of curvature function of its boundary. This result is then used for a characterization of the extreme (indecomposable) bodies in $\tilde{K}(w)$ and for a density theorem for Reuleaux polygons in $\tilde{K}(1)$.

1.

For a plane convex body K , $u \in E^2$ and real θ , let $H(K, u) = \sup \{ \langle u, x \rangle : x \in K \}$ be the support function of K , and let $f_K(\theta) = H(K, u_\theta)$ where $u_\theta = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$.

The support function is continuous, convex, and positively homogeneous. The homogeneity implies that a support function is completely determined by its restriction to the unit circle, hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between the class of plane convex bodies and a class \tilde{F} of continuous, 2π periodic real functions. This correspondence preserves Minkowski addition and multiplication by nonnegative numbers, that is, $f_{K+L}(\theta) = f_K(\theta) + f_L(\theta)$ and $f_{\lambda K}(\theta) = \lambda f_K(\theta)$ for convex bodies K , L , and $\lambda \geq 0$. The following is a characterization of the class \tilde{F} .

DEFINITION. A real function $f(\theta)$ is said to be circle convex if for all real h such that $|h| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$ we have:

$$(1) \quad f(\theta + h) + f(\theta - h) \geq 2f(\theta) \cos h.$$

[†] The content of this paper is a revised version of a part of the Master of Science thesis written by the author under the supervision of Professor Micha A. Perles at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem and submitted in October, 1971.

Received September 10, 1973

THEOREM 1. *A real function f is in \tilde{F} iff it is continuous, 2π periodic, and circle convex.*

PROOF. If $f \in \tilde{F}$ then $f(\theta) = H(K, u_\theta)$ for some convex body K , and f is clearly continuous and 2π periodic. By the convexity and homogeneity of H it follows for $|\theta - \varphi| < \pi$, that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) + f(\varphi) &= H(u_\theta) + H(u_\varphi) \geq H(u_\theta + u_\varphi) \\ &= \|u_\theta + u_\varphi\| H((u_\theta + u_\varphi) / \|u_\theta + u_\varphi\|) = \|u_\theta + u_\varphi\| f(\frac{1}{2}(\theta + \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \|u_\theta + u_\varphi\| &= \langle u_\theta + u_\varphi, u_\theta + u_\varphi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = (2 + 2\langle u_\theta, u_\varphi \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (2(1 + \cos(\theta - \varphi)))^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(\theta) + f(\varphi) \geq 2 f(\frac{1}{2}(\theta + \varphi)) \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \varphi))$ which is another form of (1) proved for $|h| < \frac{1}{2}\pi$. By continuity (1) is also true for $|h| = \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

Conversely, suppose f is continuous and 2π periodic, and define $H(u) = \|u\| f(\theta_u)$ for $u \neq 0$, where $u = \|u\| (\cos \theta_u, \sin \theta_u)$, and $H(0) = 0$. The function H is clearly continuous and positively homogeneous. If H is also convex then H is the support function of some convex body (see [4, p. 57]). Thus we only have to show that if H is not convex then f is not circle convex.

If H is not convex there are $u, v \in E^2$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that

$$(3) \quad H(\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v) > \lambda H(u) + (1 - \lambda)H(v).$$

H is continuous, hence there is some neighborhood of λ for which (3) still holds. There are numbers $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 1$ such that (3) holds for every $\alpha < \lambda < \beta$, with equality for $\lambda = \alpha$ and $\lambda = \beta$. Let $u_1 = \beta u + (1 - \beta)v$ and $v_1 = \alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v$. It is easily checked that for arbitrary $0 < \mu < 1$,

$$H(\mu u_1 + (1 - \mu)v_1) > \mu H(u_1) + (1 - \mu)H(v_1).$$

Hence (replacing u by u_1 and v by v_1) we may assume that (3) holds for every $0 < \lambda < 1$. $H(0) = 0$, hence $u \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$ (otherwise we would have equality in (3)). With $\lambda = \|v\| / (\|u\| + \|v\|)$ in (3) we have

$$H\left(\frac{\|v\|u}{\|u\| + \|v\|} + \frac{\|u\|v}{\|u\| + \|v\|}\right) > \frac{\|v\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} H(u) + \frac{\|u\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} H(v).$$

$\|v\|u / (\|u\| + \|v\|)$ and $\|u\|v / (\|u\| + \|v\|)$ have the same length, hence their sum bisects the angle between them, and its length is

$$\left\| \frac{\|v\| \|u\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} + \frac{\|u\| \|v\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} \right\| = 2 \frac{\|u\| \|v\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_u - \theta_v))$$

(see (2)). Now (3) takes the form

$$2 \frac{\|u\| \|v\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_u - \theta_v)) f(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_u + \theta_v)) > \frac{\|u\| \|v\|}{\|u\| + \|v\|} (f(\theta_u) + f(\theta_v)),$$

hence $2f(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_u + \theta_v)) \cos(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_u - \theta_v)) > f(\theta_u) + f(\theta_v)$

and f is not circle convex.

Q.E.D.

We shall from now on refer to the function f_K in \tilde{F} as the support function of K .

2.

DEFINITION. Let K be a plane convex body. The width function w_K of K is $w_K(\theta) = f_K(\theta) + f_K(\theta + \pi)$ (which is the support function of $K + (-K)$).

For a given function w we denote by $\tilde{K}(w)$ the class of plane convex bodies whose width function equals w .

DEFINITION. Let AC^1 be the class of all 2π periodic functions $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that f' is absolutely continuous on every bounded interval. If $f \in AC^1$ we shall sometimes say that f is AC^1 . A function is AC^1 on a set $D \subseteq R$ if f' is absolutely continuous in the set D .

THEOREM 2. If $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$ and $w \in AC^1$, then $f_K \in AC^1$.

PROOF. From [4, pp. 56-7] it is clear that f'_K exists and is continuous iff the boundary of K contains no line segments. If there were a line segment in the boundary of K , then there would also be one in the boundary of $K + (-K)$, and w' , the derivative of the support function of $K + (-K)$, would fail to exist somewhere. But $w \in AC^1$, hence f'_K exists everywhere.

Let $F_1(t) = H(K, (t, 1))$ and $F_2(t) = H(K, (t, -1))$, where $H(K, x)$ is the support function of K . Since $f_K(\theta) = (-1)^{i-1} \sin \theta F_i(\cot \theta)$ for $(i-1)\pi < \theta < i\pi$, for $i = 1, 2$, the derivatives F'_i exist within these intervals and are both non-decreasing, by the convexity of F'_i .

The fact that $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$ is expressed by $F_1(t) + F_2(-t) = g(t)$, where $g(t) = (1 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} w(\text{arc cot } t)$ (see [9]).

For an arbitrary finite set $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ of disjoint subintervals of $[-1, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=1}^n |F'_1(b_i) - F'_1(a_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |F'_2(b_i) - F'_2(a_i)| \\
 (4) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^n F'_1(b_i) + F'_2(b_i) - F'_1(a_i) - F'_2(a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n g'(b_i) - g'(a_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |g'(b_i) - g'(a_i)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is therefore clear that if g' is AC^1 on $[-1, 1]$ then so are F_1 and F_2 . But g is AC^1 on $[-1, 1]$ since

$$(5) \quad g'(t) = t(1 + t^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} w(\text{arc cot } t) - (1 + t^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} w'(\text{arc cot } t),$$

and sums and products of AC^1 functions and a composition of an AC^1 function and a monotone AC^1 function are AC^1 (see [6, I, p. 245]), hence f is AC^1 on $\pi/4 \leq \theta \leq 3\pi/4$ and $5\pi/4 \leq \theta \leq 7\pi/4$. In the same manner (using the lines $(t, 1)$ and $(t, -1)$) we prove that $f \in AC^1$ all over the interval $[0, 2\pi]$.

3.

The radius of curvature of a curve C is usually defined in terms of the second derivatives of a parametric representation of C . Here we use a geometric definition of the radius of curvature which is applicable to any curve which is the boundary of a convex body, without any smoothness assumptions.

DEFINITION. Let f_K be the support function of a plane convex body K , and let θ be a real number. If there exists a circle C of radius R such that

$$(6) \quad f_K(\theta + h) - f_C(\theta + h) = o(h^2)$$

then R is said to be the radius of curvature of K in the direction θ , and is denoted by $R_K(\theta)$. (C may be a point, and in that case $R = 0$.)

DEFINITION. The function $R_f(\theta) = f''(\theta) + f(\theta)$ is called the radius of curvature of f . We have seen that if $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$, where $w \in AC^1$, then R_{f_K} is defined a.e.. A slight change in (1) yields:

$$(7) \quad f_K(\theta + h) + f_K(\theta - h) - 2f_K(\theta) \geq 2f_K(\theta)(\cos h - 1) = -4f_K(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}h,$$

or:

$$(8) \quad (f_K(\theta + h) + f_K(\theta - h) - 2f_K(\theta))/h^2 \geq -f_K(\theta) \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}h / (\frac{1}{2}h)^2.$$

It is easily seen that if $f''(\theta)$ exists then the left-hand term of (8) tends to $f''(\theta)$ as h tends to zero (see [6, II, p. 37]). Hence,

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} f_K''(\theta) &\geq -f_K(\theta) \quad \text{or} \\ R_{f_K}(\theta) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *Let K be a convex body. If f_K'' exists at θ then K has a radius of curvature R in the direction θ , and $R = R_{f_K}(\theta)$.*

PROOF. If $f_K(\theta)$ exists then we have

$$(10) \quad f_K(\theta + h) = f_K(\theta) + hf_K'(\theta) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 f_K''(\theta) + o(h^2).$$

The support function of a circle C of radius $R \geq 0$ and center a is

$$f_C(\varphi) = R + \langle a, u_\varphi \rangle$$

and $f_C''(\theta) = -\langle a, u_\theta \rangle$. If f_C is to be a second-order approximation of f_K at θ we must have, by (10), $f_K(\theta) = R + \langle a, u_\theta \rangle$ and $f_K''(\theta) = -\langle a, u_\theta \rangle$. Thus $R = f_K(\theta) + f_K''(\theta)$ and, by (9), R is nonnegative and is the radius of a circle. By equating the first and second derivatives of f_K and f_C at θ we obtain a system of two linear equations with a unique solution for the components of a . Hence R is the radius of curvature of K in the direction θ .

THEOREM 4. *Let w be an AC^1 width function of a plane convex body.*

I. *The radius of curvature function $R(\theta)$ of a convex body $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) R is nonnegative and measurable.
- (ii) $R(\theta) + R(\theta + \pi) = w''(\theta) + w(\theta)$ a.e. .
- (iii) $\int_0^\pi R(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta = w(0)$.
- (iv) $\int_0^\pi R(\theta) \cos \theta \, d\theta = -w'(0)$.

II. *Let $R(\theta)$ be a real function defined on the real line, satisfying conditions (i)-(iv) of Part I. Then*

- (i) *there exists a convex body $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$ such that $R(\theta) = R_K(\theta)$ a.e.*
- (ii) *K is unique, up to translation, that is, if $g(\theta)$ is an AC^1 function and $R_g(\theta) = R(\theta)$ a.e. then g is the support function of a translate of K .*

PROOF. We shall first prove Part II.

Define $f(\theta) = \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) \, d\sigma$ (see [2, p. 115]).

(a) *f circle convex.* Both sides of (1) are even functions of h , so it suffices to prove (1) for $0 \leq h \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\theta + h) + f(\theta - h) &= \int_0^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta + h - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^{\theta-h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - h - \sigma) d\sigma \\
 &= \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) (\sin(\theta + h - \sigma) + \sin(\theta - h - \sigma)) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \int_\theta^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta + h - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_{\theta-h}^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\sigma - (\theta - h)) d\sigma \\
 &= 2 \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) \cos h d\sigma + I_1 + I_2 = 2f(\theta) \cos h + I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The integrands in I_1 and I_2 are non negative, hence $I_1 + I_2 \geq 0$ and (1) holds.

(b) f' absolutely continuous.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f' &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (f(\theta + h) - f(\theta)) \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_0^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma + h) d\sigma - \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma \right) \\
 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin h}{h} \int_0^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \cos(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h - 1}{h} \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h}{h} \int_\theta^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h - 1}{h} = 0$ and since $0 \leq |\sin(\theta - \sigma)| \leq |h|$ for $|\theta - \sigma| \leq |h|$ we also have $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos h}{h} \int_\theta^{\theta+h} R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma = 0$. Therefore

$$f' = \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma = \cos \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma + \sin \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma.$$

The integrals exist, by conditions (iii) and (iv) of Part I, hence f' is absolutely continuous.

(c) $f(\theta) + f(\theta + \pi) = w(\theta)$. Let $v(\theta) = f(\theta) + f(\theta + \pi)$. By (b) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v'(\theta) &= \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma + \int_0^{\theta+\pi} R(\sigma) \cos(\theta + \pi - \sigma) d\sigma \\
 &= \cos \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma + \sin \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma + \cos(\theta + \pi) \int_0^{\theta+\pi} R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma \\
 &\quad + \sin(\theta + \pi) \int_0^{\theta+\pi} R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma
 \end{aligned}$$

and $v''(\theta) = R(\theta) + R(\theta + \pi) - v(\theta)$ a.e..

Therefore v and w are two solutions of the differential equation $u''(\theta) + u(\theta) = R(\theta) + R(\theta + \pi)$ a.e.. Both v and w have absolutely continuous derivatives and the same initial conditions since $v(0) = f(0) + f(\pi) = \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \sin(\pi - \sigma) d\sigma = w(0)$, by condition (iii) of Part I and $v'(0) = f'(0) + f'(\pi) = \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \cos(\pi - \sigma) d\sigma = w'(0)$, by condition (iv) of Part I. By the uniqueness theorem for differential equations (see [3, Ch. 2]) $v = w$ or $f(\theta) + f(\theta + \pi) = w(\theta)$.

(d) f is 2π periodic. This follows immediately from (c).

(e) $R_f(\theta) = R(\theta)$ a.e.. By differentiating

$$f' = \cos \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma + \sin \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f''(\theta) &= -\sin \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma + R(\theta) \cos^2 \theta + \cos \theta \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma + R(\theta) \sin^2 \theta \\ &= R(\theta) - f(\theta) \text{ a.e. .} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that equality holds in every θ where $R(\theta)$ is continuous.

By Theorem 1, f is the support function of a convex body K . K is in $\tilde{K}(w)$ by (c) and the radius of curvature of K equals $R(\theta)$ a.e. by (e) and Theorem 3.

The uniqueness (up to translation) of K follows from the fact that every φ with an absolutely continuous derivative which satisfies $\varphi'' + \varphi = 0$ a.e. is of the form $\varphi(\theta) = A \cos \theta + B \sin \theta$ (see [3, Ch. 2]). Hence the general solution of $g''(\theta) + g(\theta) = R(\theta)$ is

$$g(\theta) = \int_0^\theta R(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma + \langle a, u_\theta \rangle = f(\theta) + \langle a, u_\theta \rangle$$

which is the support function of a translate of K .

Now we can prove Part I. By Theorem 2, $f_K \in AC^1$ hence f_K'' exists a.e. and $f_K'(\theta)$ is an integral of f_K'' . Therefore f_K'' is measurable and so is $R_{f_K} = f_K'' + f_K$. By Theorem 3, $R_K(\theta) = R_{f_K}(\theta)$ a.e., hence R_K is measurable. Condition (ii) of Part I is obvious by Theorem 3. It is seen by the proof of Part II of this theorem that we may assume that $f_K(\theta) = \int_0^\theta R_K(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma$. Thus we have

$$w(0) = f(0) + f(\pi) = \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \sin(\pi - \sigma) d\sigma = \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \sin \sigma d\sigma$$

$$w'(0) = f'(0) + f'(\pi) = \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \cos(\pi - \sigma) d\sigma = - \int_0^\pi R(\sigma) \cos \sigma d\sigma$$

which completes the proof.

The width function is not indispensable for Part II (i) (the existence part) of Theorem 4. The following version can be proved in quite a similar way.

THEOREM 4*. *For any measurable nonnegative, 2π periodic function $R(\theta)$ satisfying $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\theta) \cos \theta d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} R(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 0$ there exists a convex body K whose radius of curvature equals $R(\theta)$ a.e. .*

However, in general K is not unique. For example if K is any convex polygon, then $R_K(\theta) = 0$ a.e. .

For any function $R(\theta)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4* there is up to translation a unique body K with an AC^1 support function such that $R_K(\theta) = R(\theta)$ a.e. , but having an AC^1 support function has no obvious geometric meaning.

4.

The radius of curvature $R_f = f'' + f$ is additive, and it is natural to examine it in connection with addition of convex bodies.

DEFINITION. A convex body $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$ is said to be extreme in $\tilde{K}(w)$ if $K = \lambda K_1 + (1 - \lambda)K_2$, with $K_1, K_2 \in \tilde{K}(w)$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, implies that K_1 and K_2 are translates of K .

THEOREM 5. *Let $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$. K is extreme in $\tilde{K}(w)$ iff for almost all θ either $R(\theta) = 0$ or $R(\theta + \pi) = 0$.*

PROOF. The radius of curvature is additive, so $K = \lambda K_1 + (1 - \lambda)K_2$ implies $R = \lambda R_{K_1} + (1 - \lambda)R_{K_2}$. But $0 \leq R_{K_i}(\theta) \leq w''(\theta) + w(\theta)$ for all θ and $i = 1, 2$ hence if a.e. $R(\theta) = 0$ or $R(\theta) = w''(\theta) + w(\theta)$ then $R_{K_1}(\theta) = R_{K_2}(\theta) = R(\theta)$ a.e. . Part II (ii) of Theorem 4 implies that K_1 and K_2 are translates of K , hence K is extreme in $\tilde{K}(w)$.

Suppose now that $K \in \tilde{K}(w)$, f and R are its support and radius of curvature functions respectively. Let A be a set of positive measure on which $0 < R < w'' + w$. We may assume that $A \subseteq [0, \pi]$ and that there is a positive number ε , such that $\varepsilon < R(\theta) < w''(\theta) + w(\theta) - \varepsilon$ for $\theta \in A$. Consider the linear space $F(A)$ of all real functions on A of the form $a + b \sin \theta + c \cos \theta$, with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_A f(\theta)g(\theta)d\theta.$$

$F(A)$ is a 3-dimensional inner product space, therefore there exists a function

$g \neq 0$ in $F(A)$ which is orthogonal on A to both $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$. For a sufficiently small positive λ , $|\lambda g(\theta)| < \varepsilon$ for all θ in A .

Define $T(\theta)$, first for $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, by

$$T(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda g(\theta) & \text{for } \theta \in A \\ -\lambda g(\theta) & \text{for } \theta \in A + \pi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and then extend T to be a 2π periodic function on R .

$|T(\theta)| < \varepsilon$ for all $\theta \in A$ and $T(\theta) = 0$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ outside A and $A + \pi$, hence $0 \leq R(\theta) \pm T(\theta) \leq w''(\theta) + w(\theta)$ for all θ . By definition we have $\int_0^\pi T(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 0$ and $\int_0^\pi T(\theta) \cos \theta d\theta = 0$. By Theorem 4, $R + T$ and $R - T$ are a.e. the radius of curvature functions of bodies in $\tilde{K}(w)$. Let K^+ and K^- denote two such bodies. $T \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure hence K^+ and K^- are not translates of K . But K is a translate of $\frac{1}{2}(K^+ + K^-)$, hence K is not extreme in $\tilde{K}(w)$, and the proof is completed.

5.

On first thought it may seem that the extreme bodies in $\tilde{K}(w)$ must have vertices or corner points in almost every direction or its opposite.

A simple example, for the case $w(\theta) = 1$ (where $\tilde{K}(1)$ is the class of sets of constant width 1) shows that this is far from being true. In fact there exists an extreme body in $\tilde{K}(1)$ whose radius of curvature takes both values 0 and 1 on sets of positive measure in every interval. Such a body is smooth, that is, it has no vertex (interval of support directions with one fixed point of support).

In order to construct the support function of K we first divide the interval $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ into two measurable sets A_1, B_1 in such a way that every interval $[a, b]$ with $0 \leq a < b \leq \frac{1}{2}$ intersects both A_1 and B_1 in sets of positive measure (see [5, p. 99]). Define $A_2 = B_1 + \frac{1}{2}$, $B_2 = A_1 + \frac{1}{2}$, and $A = A_1 \cup A_2$, $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{1\}$. Thus $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Now define a homeomorphism $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$ by $\varphi(\xi) = \arccos(1 - \xi)$. We have $\varphi^{-1}(\theta) = 1 - \cos \theta$.

Define a function $R(\theta)$, first in the interval $[0, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$, by

$$R(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta \in \varphi(A) \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \in \varphi(B). \end{cases}$$

Extend the definition to $[0, \pi)$ by $R(\frac{1}{2}\pi + \theta) = R(\frac{1}{2}\pi - \theta)$ for $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2}\pi$, and

then to the whole real line by: $R(\theta + \pi) = 1 - R(\theta)$. R is an even function with respect to $\frac{1}{2}\pi$ on $(0, \pi)$, hence $\int_0^\pi R(\theta) \cos \theta d\theta = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi R(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta &= 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} R(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = 2 \int_{\varphi(A)} d(1 - \cos \theta) \\ &= 2 \int_{\varphi(A)} d(\varphi^{-1}(\theta)) = 2 \int_A d\xi = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4, $R(\theta)$ is a.e. the radius of curvature of a convex body K in $K(1)$.

K is smooth because if K had a vertex then $R_K(\theta)$ would vanish on a whole interval.

6.

Our last application of Theorem 4 is in the theory of bodies of constant width.

A Reuleaux polygon is a body in $K(1)$ whose boundary consists of a finite number of circular arcs of radius 1. Its radius of curvature function takes only the values 1 and 0, each on a finite number of intervals between 0 and 2π . Reuleaux polygons of width 1 are known to be dense in $\tilde{K}(1)$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric (see [1]). Here we prove a somewhat stronger version of this density theorem.

THEOREM 6. *Let K be in $\tilde{K}(1)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a Reuleaux polygon K_ε with support function f_ε satisfying the following conditions.*

(i) $\max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |f_K(\theta) - f_\varepsilon(\theta)| \leq \varepsilon.$

(ii) $\max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi} |f'_K(\theta) - f'_\varepsilon(\theta)| \leq \varepsilon.$

(iii) K_ε has no more than $2 \lceil \pi/\varepsilon(2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil + 3$ sides, and all its vertices with support directions between 0 and π lie on the boundary of K .

PROOF. Let $n = \lceil \pi/\varepsilon(2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rceil + 1$ and let $a_i = i\pi/n$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$). In the interval $[a_{i-1}, a_i]$ there exists a one-parameter family of subintervals $[b_i(\lambda), c_i(\lambda)]$ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ with $b_i(0) = a_{i-1}$, $c_i(1) = a_i$, $b_i(\lambda)$, and $c_i(\lambda)$ continuous, nondecreasing functions of λ , such that

$$(11) \quad \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} R(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = \int_{b_i(\lambda)}^{c_i(\lambda)} \sin \theta d\theta$$

for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Define a new measure ν on $[0, \pi]$ by $\nu(E) = \int_E \sin \theta d\theta$. For any integrable function R we have

$$(12) \quad \int_E R(\theta) \cos \theta d\theta = \int_E R(\theta) \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta)$$

and

$$(13) \quad \nu[b_i(\lambda), c_i(\lambda)] = \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} R(\theta) d\nu \text{ for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \text{ Now } \cotg \theta \text{ is}$$

decreasing in $[0, \pi]$, hence

$$\int_{b_i(0)}^{c_i(0)} \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta) \geq \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} R(\theta) \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta) \geq \int_{b_i(1)}^{c_i(1)} \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta).$$

Thus, for a suitable choice of λ we have

$$(14) \quad \int_{a_{i-1}}^{a_i} R(\theta) \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta) = \int_{b_i(\lambda)}^{c_i(\lambda)} \cotg \theta d\nu(\theta).$$

Let $b_i = b_i(\lambda)$ and $c_i = c_i(\lambda)$ for that choice of λ . Then for each m ($0 \leq m \leq n$) we have, by (12) and (14)

$$(15) \quad \int_0^{a_m} R(\theta) \cos \theta d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{b_i}^{c_i} \cos \theta d\theta$$

and by (11)

$$(16) \quad \int_0^{a_m} R(\theta) \sin \theta d\theta = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{b_i}^{c_i} \sin \theta d\theta.$$

Define a function $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$, first in the interval $[0, \pi)$ by

$$R_\varepsilon(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b_i \leq \theta \leq c_i \text{ for some } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and extend the definition to all real θ by $R_\varepsilon(\theta + \pi) = 1 - R_\varepsilon(\theta)$. By (15) and (16) for $m = n$ it is clear that $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4 with $w = 1$. Therefore $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$ is a.e. the radius of curvature function of a convex body K_ε with support function $f_\varepsilon(\theta) = \int_0^\theta R_\varepsilon(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) d\sigma$. But $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$ is piecewise continuous, hence $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$ is the radius of curvature of K_ε except for a finite number of directions (see the proof of Part II (v) of Theorem 4). It is readily seen that the points $0, b_i, c_i, \pi, b_i + \pi, c_i + \pi, 2\pi$ divide the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ into an even number, at most $4n + 2$, of subintervals and that $R_\varepsilon(\theta)$ assumes on these intervals the constant values 0 and 1 alternately. Since the solution of the differential equation $f'' + f = R$

on any interval is unique up to translation, it follows that the intervals with $R = 1$ correspond to circular arcs of radius 1 on the boundary of K_ε and the intervals with $R = 0$ correspond to vertices of K . Thus K_ε is clearly a Reuleaux polygon with at most $2n + 1 = 2[\pi/\varepsilon(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] + 3$ sides.

For each $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ there is some i for which

$$|\theta - a_i| \leq \frac{1}{2} |a_i - a_{i-1}| = \pi/2n \leq \varepsilon(2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By (16) we have

$$I(\theta) = \left| \int_0^\theta (R_K(\sigma) - R_\varepsilon(\sigma)) \sin \sigma \, d\sigma \right| = \left| \int_\theta^{a_i} (R_K(\sigma) - R_\varepsilon(\sigma)) \sin \sigma \, d\sigma \right| \leq \varepsilon(2)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and similarly by (15)

$$J(\theta) = \left| \int_0^\theta (R_K(\sigma) - R_\varepsilon(\sigma)) \cos \sigma \, d\sigma \right| \leq \varepsilon(2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since K can be replaced by any translate of K , we may assume that $f_K(\theta) = \int_0^\theta R_K(\sigma) \sin(\theta - \sigma) \, d\sigma$, and for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_K(\theta) - f_\varepsilon(\theta)| &= \left| \int_0^\theta (R_K(\sigma) - R_\varepsilon(\sigma)) \sin(\theta - \sigma) \, d\sigma \right| \leq |\sin \theta| J(\theta) + |\cos \theta| I(\theta) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\sin \theta| + |\cos \theta|) \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $|f'_K(\theta) - f'_\varepsilon(\theta)| \leq |\sin \theta| I(\theta) + |\cos \theta| J(\theta) \leq \varepsilon$.

These inequalities hold also for $\pi \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ since

$$f_K(\theta + \pi) = 1 - f_K(\theta); \quad f_\varepsilon(\theta + \pi) = 1 - f_\varepsilon(\theta).$$

The point of contact of a convex body $K \subset E^2$ with a support line which has an outer normal u_θ is completely determined by $f'_K(\theta)$ and $f_K(\theta)$. (In [4, pp. 56-7] it is shown that the point of contact is determined by the support function $H(K, u)$, and its partial derivatives. The connection to $f'_K(\theta)$ and $f_K(\theta)$ is obvious.) By the construction, $f_K(a_i) = f_\varepsilon(a_i)$ and $f'_K(a_i) = f'_\varepsilon(a_i)$. It follows that all the vertices of K with support directions between 0 and π lie on the boundary of K .

REMARK. This paper was originally written for the special case $w = 1$ (constant width). The generalization was motivated by Ruth Silverman's characterization of the indecomposable bodies in $\tilde{K}(w)$ [9]. Unfortunately, the characterization given in [9] is incorrect, and there is a mistake in the proof of one of the lemmas ([9, Lem. 6]).

REFERENCES

1. W. Blaschke, *Konvexe Bereiche gegebener konstanter Breite und kleinsten Inhalts*, Math. Ann. **76** (1915), 504-513.
2. W. Blaschke, *Kreis und Kugel*, Leipzig, 1916.
3. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, 1955.
4. H. G. Eggleston, *Convexity*, Cambridge, 1958.
5. H. Hahn and A. Rosenthal, *Set Functions*, University of New Mexico Press, 1948.
6. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of Functions of Real Variable*, Ungar, 1964.
7. G. T. Sallee, *Reuleaux polytopes*, Mathematika **17** (1970), 315-323.
8. G. T. Sallee, *Minkowski decomposition of convex sets* (to appear).
9. R. Silverman, *Decomposition of plane convex sets associated with width function* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL